UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FUREKA DIVISION

POGA MGMT PTNRS LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MEDFILER LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>12-cv-06087-SBA</u> (NJV)

ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION

Re: Dkt. No. 120

Although not filed as a motion to reconsider or vacate, Plaintiff has filed a Memorandum of Opposition, in which Plaintiff requests this court vacate its Order of June 11, 2015, which canceled the settlement conference set for June 17, 2015. *See* (Doc. 120). The court issued the Order that cancelled the settlement conference and reset the matter for a status conference upon consideration of Defendants' Motion to Continue. (Doc. 118). Plaintiff's present concern is that the court did so prior to allowing Plaintiff to file an opposition.

The court considered the request made by Defendants, Defendants' representation that

Plaintiff opposed the request (the court is well aware of the benefits of early settlement of a case to
both the parties and the court and whether early settlement conferences can be productive),

Defendants' assertion that a settlement conference would be unproductive prior to the issuance of
an order on summary judgment, and the fact that the settlement conference was scheduled to occur
within four business days of the request to cancel. After taking all of these issues into
consideration the court determined that the best course was to grant the continuance.

Case 4:12-cv-06087-SBA Document 121 Filed 06/15/15 Page 2 of 2

Northern District of California United States District Court

Now, after consideration of Plaintiff's Memorandum of Opposition, the court's opinion remains unaltered.¹ Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the request to vacate the court's Order of June 11, 2015 is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 15, 2015

NANDOR J. VADAS United States Magistrate Judge

The court notes that while counsel and the parties are subject to the provisions of the Local Rules, the court certainly is not. The court may run its calendar and manage its recourses as it sees fit. The court's Order of June 11, 2015, pursuant to L.R. 7-11(c), supersedes any opposition deadlines.